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Three Mannequins at the Wolf & Schlachter Company
Photo from 1935 by an anonymous photographer on the business premises of the “Wolf & Schlachter” company, which was located on Mohrenstrasse 36/37. The photo also takes an ironic look at the difficulties faced by Jewish companies beginning in 1933.

Photograph on silver gelatine baryta paper, 6.5 x 9.5 cm; Jewish Museum Berlin, gift from Peter Sinclair, formerly Peter Jacob
Fashion and Persecution

The Fate of Jewish Clothiers in the Nazi Dictatorship on the Premises of Today‘s Justice Ministry
The Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection has its seat in the former Jewish ready-made garment district of Berlin. That district existed until the Nazis first confiscated the businesses and then murdered their owners.

Our Ministry stands in the service of law and justice. Those who work in the Ministry today should be aware of the injustice done to people who previously worked on the same premises.

I therefore requested Humboldt University to do a study on the history of our building and the fate of its inhabitants. I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Michael Wildt, Dr. Christoph Kreuzmüller and Eva Lotte Reimer for the study, which this brochure introduces to the public.

With it, we are striving to keep the memory of the expelled and murdered individuals alive and to face up to our responsibility for the present. There is no end to history. Today as well, there are dangers to humanity and freedom. Being aware of history can sharpen our awareness when human rights and the rule of law are again called into question.

Heiko Maas
Federal Minister of Justice and Consumer Protection
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Introduction

Until World War II, Hausvogteiplatz, a square that had been laid out from the walls of the former city prison, was the uncontested centre of the German fashion world – and a location with international flair. Many of the ready-made fashion shops were run by clothiers who were Jewish or were considered by the National Socialists to be Jews. Today’s Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection is located on a site where the headquarters of fifty-nine (!) Jewish businesses were located.

Beginning in 1933, these businesses were attacked by the National Socialists, supported by their lackeys and sycophants, with violent blockades and boycotts. They obstructed the operation of the businesses with a flood of regulations and laws. While some of them were initially able to compensate for domestic losses with their foreign exports, at the latest following the pogrom in November 1938 all Jewish business owners on the premises of today’s Ministry were forced to give up their shops. A total of at least nine businesses were transferred to the possession of non-Jews, and 50 were liquidated.¹

Many clothiers were able to emigrate due to their international reputation, but others were deported and murdered by the Nazis. The Reich Justice Ministry flanked the efficiently organised mass murder with legislative initiatives.

This brochure has been published at the initiative of the Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection. Its aim is to recall and record the individual fates of the Jewish entrepreneurs who operated their companies on the premises of today’s Ministry, thus bringing them back from oblivion. Due to the astonishingly high number of companies, in the interests of readability we
have decided to focus on and portray individual fates which are especially informative and gripping, and to embed them within the phases of the destruction of Jewish businesses. We also show how the Jewish clothiers struggled to stand up against their persecution – often with verve and sometimes even with success.

We began our research with the database of Jewish companies (Datenbank jüdischer Gewerbebetriebe – (DjGB)); and supplemented that information with files from the Berlin Commercial Register that remain in the Berlin Land Archives, files from the Reparations Offices (also in the Berlin Land Archives) and – thanks to the support of the Federal Ministry of Justice

---

**Two novels about Hausvogteiplatz**

Two novels about the ready-to-wear garment district were published in 1932. In “Konfektion” and “Leute machen Kleider. Roman vom Hausvogteiplatz,” Jewish authors Werner Türk and Gustav Hochstetter used the background of the economic crisis to provide an unvarnished view of the often hectic operations of the ready-to-wear garment industry on Hausvogteiplatz. Those books were burned on 10 May 1933 on today’s Bebelplatz square. Werner Türk managed to emigrate to Great Britain, where he died in 1986. Gustav Hochstetter was deported to the Theresienstadt Ghetto and fell victim in 1944 to the terrible living conditions there.

Covers of the novels “Konfektion” by Werner Türk and “Leute machen Kleider. Roman vom Hausvogteiplatz” by Gustav Hochstetter (both: Berlin, 1932).
and Consumer Protection – files from the archives of the Federal Offices for Central Services and the Resolution of Outstanding Property Issues. The files from the Berlin Compensation Office were of special significance for tracing the individual fates of the persecuted Jews, along with the search tools of the Stiftung Warburg Archiv (Hamburg), the archives of the Yad Vashem Memorial (Jerusalem), the Central Archives for the History of the Jewish People (Jerusalem) as well as the Wiener Library (London).

Dr. Christoph Kreutzmüller
Eva-Lotte Reimer
Prof. Dr Michael Wildt
Jewish companies between Kronen-, Mohren-, and Jerusalemer Strasse

Today’s Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection is located on the properties of Kronenstrasse 35 to 41, Mohrenstrasse 36 to 38 and Jerusalemer Strasse 24 to 28. Around 1933, there were a total of 59 Jewish businesses located on those properties:

Mohrenstrasse 36 – 38

36 / 37

- S. Binswanger Knopfgroßhandlung (1900 – 34)
- Meyersohn & Tobias Seidenwaren (1902 – 38)
- Max Behrendt Kostümröcke (1904 – 40)
- Salomon & Kaminsky Damen- und Mädchenmäntel (1910 – 37)
- Glaß & Graetz Damenmoden im besseren Genre (1911 – 40)
- Wolf & Schlachter Damenbekleidung (1919 – 39)
- Brüder Feige Damenmäntelfabrik (1920 – 38)
- Graumann & Stern Damenmäntel und Kleider (1922 – 38)
- Ahders & Basch Modellkollektionen (1928 – 38)
- Walter Wachsner Damenkonfektion (1933 – 38)
- Motü Modische Kleider und Blusen GmbH (1934 – 36)
- Ernst Nußbaum Kleider (1936 – 39)

37

- Max Leissner, Einzelkaufmann, Inhaber (1935 – 39)
37a

- Simon Westmann Damen Konfektion & Trauer Magazin (1900 – 32)
- Gebrüder Ries Textilwaren en gros (1920 – 40)
- Hirsch & Süßkind Damenkonfektion (1923 – 39)
- Ernst Plachta Pelzfabrication (1926 – 39)
- Georg Eichelgrün Damenmäntel (1927 – 33)
- Paul Aschner Damenbekleidung (1933 – 35)
- Lebram & Wallach Damenmäntel (1933 – 39)
- Herbert Labandter Damenkleiderherstellung (1934 – 38)

38

- Sally Fraenkel Damenmäntel und Jacken (1901 – 34)

Kronenstrasse 35 – 41

36

- Embeco Modische Bekleidungs-Kompagnie Abromeit & Huth Damen-Oberbekleidung (1901 – 39)
- H. Kantorowicz & Co. Damenblusen, Kinderkleider (1902 – 39)
- Max Frank jr. Futterstoffe (1905 – 39)
- Hermann Schwersenzer Kleider und Blusen (1929 – 38)
- Arthur Gadiel Kleiderkonfektionsfabrik (1934 – 37)
- Heinrich Bielschowsky Damenmäntel (1934 – 38)
- Ernst Loepert Damenbekleidung (1934 – 39)
- Erwin Feder Damenmäntelfabrik (1937 – 40)

38 / 40

- Bibo & Jackier Damenmäntel (1902 – 38)
- Hugo Ivers Textilvertretungen (1903 – 39)
- Leonhard Wertheim Spezialfabrik für garnierte Kleider (1905 – 37)
- Adolf Wittkowski Schürzen und Jupons (1907 – 37)
- Berthold Hammel Damen- und Kinderbekleidung (1911 – 41)
- Arnold Frischmann Damenkonfektion (1913 – 39)
- Jakobowski & Cohen Damenkonfektion (1914 – 37)
- Sonnenfeld & Jaroczynski (1919 – 38)
- Gumpel, Rosenbach & Co. Damenmäntel-Fabrikation (1919 – 40)
- Treitel & Meyer Blusen und Kleider (1920 – 39)
- Lux & Co. Backfisch- und Knabenkonfektion (1921 – 39)
- Goldberg & Sander Damen- und Kinderbekleidung en gros (1922 – 38)
- Weinstein & Landauer AG (1932)
- Fritz Weil & Co. Damenbekleidung (1935 – 39)
- Philipp Gerber Blusen (1936 – 39)

41
- S. Jaraczewer Damenbekleidung Mittelgenre (1902 – 39)
- Lewinnek & Schönlnak Mädchenmäntel en gros und Export (1910 – 40)
- Fließer & Rosenthal Damenkonfektion (1930 – 40)
- Hielscher & Co. Backfisch- und Damenmoden (1933 – 40)
- Erwin Leibke Bekleidung (1934 – 39)
- C. Neumann & Co. Damenkleiderfabrikation (1937 – 38)

Jerusalemer Strasse 24 – 28

24
- Max Süßkind & Co. GmbH Kostüme (1926 – 35)

26
- Mendelsohn, Meyerhof & Co. Bernhard Mendelsohn Blusen und Kleider (1934 – 36)
- B. Rosenberg & Co. Kleider, Blusen und Röcke (1934 – 39)

28
- Eugen Herzberg Agentur (1901 – 40)
- S. Rosenbaum Damenmäntel en gros (1902 – 38)
- Silberberg & Auerbach Besatzartikel (1910 – 36)

A photograph from the Jewish professional journal titled “Der Konfektionär” of 1 April 1930 shows four men with long dark coats wearing felt hats, who are pressing white sheets of paper onto a post. The – posed – photo was made from a few meters away in daylight, and the group seems to be standing to the left of the entrance to a courtyard. The observer discovers where the photo was taken from the headline: on Hausvogteiplatz – a square in
the midst of Berlin’s ready-made fashion district and immediately adjacent to the Ministry’s current premises. The caption states exactly where they were located: “Between Hausvogteiplatz and Dönhoffplatz” – meaning on Jerusalemer Str. (see map). The picture caption says that the men are “textile salesmen,” who come to this building daily “around three o’clock in the afternoon” in the hopes of getting commissions. The description of the wall as the “Wailing Wall” is surprising: according to the subtext, this is “a piece of the ‘Original Wailing Wall’ in Jerusalem,” which had been “recently moved to Germany.” This was clearly an April Fool’s joke – if a part of the Wailing Wall had really been shipped from Jerusalem to Berlin and erected on Hausvogteiplatz, this would have been the subject of more media attention than merely a mention in a professional journal. But the ensemble of the headline, photograph and subtext tells us a great deal: It identifies Hausvogteiplatz as a place of pilgrimage for the German fashion industry. Everyone who needed commissions had to go where the fashion firms were headquartered en masse – to Hausvogteiplatz. The rather dry and ironic determination that the commission books stayed empty makes the observer aware of the economic crisis, which of course was felt in the textile industry as well. Using the term “Wailing Wall” also identified Hausvogteiplatz as a Jewish location. Given the
anti-Semitic atmosphere in Berlin in 1930, one’s first instinct is to assume that anti-Semitism is behind this report. But the journal belonged to the “L. Schottlaender & Co.” publishing company on Krausenstrasse, which was considered to be Jewish by the Nazis after they assumed power in 1933, and was taken over by non-Jews in the course of the 1930s.
The Early Stages of Persecution (1933)

Despite the anti-Semitic atmosphere in Germany’s capital, which included street fighting between supporters of the Communists (KPD) and Nazis (NSDAP), many Jewish families moved from the German provinces to Berlin in the early 1930s. The open anti-Semitism in small communities forced many people to give up their businesses and seek a new livelihood in the metropolis of Berlin. A third of all Jews in Germany and over half of the Jews in Prussia lived in Berlin. Hausvogteiplatz was considered to be the “Jewish quarter” within Berlin, and the ready-made fashion industry was considered to be Jewish as well. Anti-Semitic attacks did not begin when the National Socialists came to power in 1933; rather, they can be documented as early as 1930, when violent supporters of the Nazi party, following the constitutive session of the Reichstag in October 1930, rioted in the shopping streets of Leipziger Strasse and Friedrichstrasse and also destroyed shops in the neighbouring ready-made garment district. Café owner Isidor Dobrin, for example, was forced to watch the destruction of his pastry shop on Jerusalemer Strasse, which was renowned throughout Berlin. After anti-Semitic attacks committed within the company, Walther Rabow, partner in the well-known ready-made clothing company of “Graumann & Stern,” died of heart failure as early as January 1931.

The National Socialists’ rise to power on 30 January 1933 represented a caesura (not only) for Jewish clothiers. It caused the last remaining inhibitions to disappear, and anti-Semitic violence was connected with measures of persecution at both the city (magistrate) and state levels. Although the “National Socialist
Business Cell Organisation” (Nationalsozialistische Betriebszellenorganisation – NSBO) did not play the same role in terms of pure numbers as it did in other areas, such as courts and insurance agencies, there were active Nazis in the ready-made garment industry as well, who organised into “business cells” and terrorised their Jewish bosses (and co-workers). They were quantitatively not in the majority, but from a micro-historical perspective the quality of their anti-Semitic actions in the perception of the Jewish entrepreneurs and the resulting effects should not be underestimated.

The “Boycott” on 1 April 1933, which was organised by the State, and staged and carried out throughout the Reich, represented a new low point for the businesses of the Jewish clothiers. Relating their memories, surviving former clothiers described that day as the beginning of the persecution measures. That violent action in April 1933 was the first step toward the “destruction of Jewish business activity.” The term of “boycott” did not really reflect reality; the action is more accurately viewed as a blockade: It did not follow the goal of achieving political change; rather, it was carried out by the SA, which had the status of an auxiliary police force – at least in Prussia, the largest region in the Reich – and was marked by violence in many cases. As late as in 1935, Jewish lawyer Eduard Reimer had the courage to brand the “boycott” of 1 April 1933 as “contrary to public morals.” His book was apparently banned upon its publication, and can therefore today be found in only a very few libraries.
At the local level – that of the Magistrate of Greater Berlin – the Chamber of Industry and Trade (Industrie- und Handelskammer, IHK) and the Local Court began detailed controls of ready-made clothing companies that they viewed as Jewish, often targeting them for harassment. The IHK worked closely with the foreign exchange office of the Land tax office, which focused primarily on companies that were strong in exports. Another Nazi actor active on Hausvogteiplatz was the “Adefa.” This was the acronym for the Arbeitsgemeinschaft deutsch-arischer Fabrikanten der Bekleidungsindustrie e.V. (Working Group of German-Aryan Manufacturers in the Garment Industry), a registered association founded in May 1933 and active throughout the Reich; it had prominent supporters and was promoted by the Reich Economics Ministry, the Propaganda Ministry, and IHK and the Deutsche Arbeitsfront (German Labour Front). The founding members were avowed Nazis and worked somewhere on the junction between the garment industry and politics. The top level of the association included Georg Riegel and Herbert Tengelmann, members of the Nazi party and IHK functionaries. “Adefa” chose headquarters in the midst of Berlin’s ready-made garment industry – on Kronenstrasse 48/49. The initial goal of “Adefa” was to unite all non-Jewish companies within the clothing industry. They were then supposed to band together in discontinuing cooperation with those companies considered to be Jewish. “Adefa” engaged in propaganda, put on fashion shows and trade shows, and became known for its aggressive behaviour. At least in Berlin, it also cooperated with the Chamber of Industry and Trade.

**Graumann & Stern**

Established in the “Dreikaiserjahr” (Three Emperors’ Year) of 1888, Graumann & Stern was among the ready-made women’s clothing companies with an international reputation even before World War I. The construction of its own off-the-rack fashion store on Mohrenstrasse 36 can be seen as an expression of its financial might and standing – “Haus Stern” was built in 1900/01, and expanded by several floors on the occasion of the 25th company anniversary. In 1913, brothers Albert and Siegfried Stern were the owners of the buildings at Mohrenstrasse 36, 37 and “Laden 1 Unter den Kolonnaden” – the main entrance of today’s Ministry. Additional “Graumann & Stern” operations were located at Mohrenstrasse 33.
After World War I, in addition to its Berlin flagship store, Graumann & Stern maintained well-known branches in New York, London, Copenhagen and Amsterdam. In the 1920s, the company bought “Sommerfelder Textilwerke AG,” a spinning and weaving mill located in the Krossen district east of Frankfurt/Oder, which is now in Poland. This new development within the Berlin ready-made garment industry allowed everything “(...) from spinning the yarn to finishing the coat, to be done completely under our own management (...).”

That expansion led to a doubling of annual sales, from 12 million to 20 million Reichsmark in 1920.

After the founder of the company retired in 1931, Heinz Graumann and Wilhelm Stern continued the company as a general partnership (oHG – offene Handelsgesellschaft) until Graumann left as well. Thereupon, in summer 1932, Stern became the sole owner of the company, which had been transformed into a sole proprietorship for that reason.

While the company’s legal form had changed toward the outside,
Graumann & Stern initially remained unchanged. Due to the economic crisis and the anti-Semitic atmosphere, Wilhelm Stern decided to downsize and modernise the company. During an extended visit to the USA, he had become familiar with the most modern methods of operations management and production processes. Therefore, conditions were good for the experienced clothier, who had been training since childhood to take over his traditional family business on Mohrenstrasse. About 40 business clerks worked in about 800 square metres of workspace, and more than 65 overseers were given commissions for the manufacture of ladies’ coats and suits.

However, the company climate was changing even before the Nazis came to power, and Wilhelm Stern was forced to deal with anti-Semitic employees and customers whose – initially verbal – attacks against him increased. The Nazis rose to power within the first year of Stern’s leadership of the company, which had begun on 1 December 1932. Stern witnessed the state-ordered “boycott” against Jewish companies and, drawing the consequences for himself and his family from the political developments, decided to leave Berlin. However, he had underestimated just how attractive his business was. In the face of mass unemployment and a weak economic situation, closing this company would have meant a loss even though it was Jewish; after all, it brought in hard currency. Stern discovered this in a very painful manner: A “business cell” formed in his operations on Mohrenstrasse 36, and Stern’s chauffeur Steffin advanced to be its head. The ringleader had collected resignation letters from all of the staff and, under threat of violence, forced Stern to compose a “declaration of obligation,” in which he stated his intention to continue to operate the company “for the benefit of the staff and the German people.” But even that scene was apparently not enough for Steffin: Followed by a horde of 25 SA men, on the following evening he forced his way into the Stern family’s home and forced his boss to compose a new declaration in which he reversed course on the company closure and assured the “business cell” of his cooperation. This method of using violence to grab and secure power was a fundamental part of the early phase of National Socialism.

The subsequent events were, as Stern soberly summed it up several decades later, “economically foolhardy, but necessary under the circumstances.” The
clothier offered two longtime staff members a partnership in the company. For the new business year beginning on 1 December 1933, the sole proprietorship was changed back into a general partnership (offene Handelsgesellschaft). Herbert Brückner, a Christian, and Max Sternberg, a Jew, became partners of Stern, for whom the conditions were not very lucrative: Neither of the new partners were required to invest any significant capital into the partnership. But they were promised a 25% share of the profits in the first business year, which was to increase to one-third in the following year. Stern took advantage of that forced change by travelling through Europe to procure export contracts for the women’s coats and suits of Graumann & Stern. The company’s sales increased again in the second business year, attaining two million Reichsmark. Stern travelled to the Netherlands and Switzerland, procured clients in Belgium and Luxembourg and also took more trips to Palestine. His wife had travelled there as a tourist in autumn 1933 with the two children, and had settled there.

Letter from Wilhelm Stern to Berlin Local Court, 14 January 1936.

And Wilhelm Stern did not return from a trip he took to Palestine in March 1935. The Stern family then lived in the small town of Ramot-Hashawim, which had been founded by German Jews in Palestine in 1933. They had left all of their assets and possessions behind in Berlin, and attempted to build up a new existence in Palestine by becoming farmers.

Wilhelm Stern notified Berlin Local Court on 14 January 1936 that he was leaving his father’s company, and declared his consent for his partners to continue the company. After Max Sternberg was also forced to leave the company because he was a Jew, Brückner continued the company until it was liquidated in 1938; but the winding up took until well into the 1940s.
The Nuremberg Laws (1935)

The year 1935 in Berlin was characterised by violence; in July, there were even pogrom-like riots on Kurfürstendamm, during which shop windows were broken and people who “looked Jewish” in the eyes of the (mostly) young thugs were attacked. All over town, so-called Stürmerkästen depots were set up to distribute the anti-Semitic smear sheet “Der Stürmer,” which was published in Nuremberg. Professionally made stickers, which first appeared in the districts on the outskirts of the city, were later distributed and posted in the city centre as well; they proclaimed, “Those who buy from Jews are traitors to the nation.”

But these types of provocations inspired other Berliners to raise their voices against the violence and to resist the anti-Semitic activities. One of them was Gerhard Jacobowitz, who had been a regular visitor to Kronenstrasse 35 for many years.

The clothier and active social democrat was forced to stand by while his brother was abducted and placed in a torture chamber run by the SA auxiliary police, which had been set up in Berlin “independently or upon orders by the State” in the course of the power grab. Shocked by the omnipresent anti-Semitism, Jacobowitz openly complained against the policies of the Nazis. After the “Nuremberg Laws” were passed in September 1935, which
defined Jacobowitz as a Volljude (full Jew), someone denounced him to the Gestapo. But the courageous clothier was lucky enough to be warned in time by a Gestapo man with whom he had fought on the front in World War I. Jacobowitz fled to Belgium in a mad rush on 22 September 1935. The Gestapo appeared at his doorstep on the next day, but found only his wife Zoé Lauvaux at home. As a Belgian Jew, in 1935 she did not yet need to acutely fear arrest in Berlin; for that reason, she escaped harm and shortly thereafter followed her husband to her home country.  

Permission to leave the country
Due to a heart condition, Ludwig Lewinnek was issued a restricted permit to leave the internment camp in southern France for spa treatment in Aix-en-Provence.

LABO Berlin, compensation file reg. no. 64806 (Ludwig Lewinnek), unnumbered document

After the Nuremberg Laws of 1935, Hermann Mansfeld fled the country as well. He had been the general agent and partner at Lewinnek & Schönlank on Kronenstrasse 41, and emigrated to Amsterdam. Ludwig S. Lewinnek and Adolf Schönlank were able to continue running the company as a general partnership (oHG) until its liquidation in 1939. Lewinnek emigrated to Belgium in May 1939, where he married Gertrude Wolff. Only a few months later, the newlyweds were forced to
The Nuremberg Laws (1935)

Off-the-rack clothes manufacturers like Max Behrendt did not custom-tailor clothes; instead, they made clothes in certain sizes which they sold in their own shops, delivered to department stores, and exported.

Jewish Museum Berlin, colour lithograph, paper, 56 x 36 cm, Photo: Jens Ziehe

Max Behrendt’s invitation to the presentation of the Spring collection, Berlin 1930–1939.
Jewish Museum Berlin, Photo: Jens Ziehe.

Max Behrendt

Businessman Max Behrendt, the sole proprietor of the company bearing his name on Mohrenstrasse 36/37, emigrated to London with his wife on 15 October 1935. In 1904, businessman Max Behrendt, who was 25 at the time, founded a “skirt factory” (Kostümrockfabrik), which
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Businessman Max Behrendt, the sole proprietor of the company bearing his name on Mohrenstrasse 36/37, emigrated to London with his wife on 15 October 1935. In 1904, businessman Max Behrendt, who was 25 at the time, founded a “skirt factory” (Kostümrockfabrik), which

Off-the-rack clothes manufacturers like Max Behrendt did not custom-tailor clothes; instead, they made clothes in certain sizes which they sold in their own shops, delivered to department stores, and exported.

Jewish Museum Berlin, colour lithograph, paper, 56 x 36 cm, Photo: Jens Ziehe
The Nuremberg Laws (1935)

The Nuremberg Laws (1935)

Assessment of Jewish Asset Tax, 27 January 1939

After the pogrom in November 1938, Jews in Germany were subjected to a special tax. The “atonement contribution” (Sühneleistung) was payable in four instalments, and filled up the empty Reich coffers to the tune of more than a billion Reichsmark.


Letter from Chr. E. Iversen to Irmgard Behrendt, 10 February 1957

To aid the compensation proceeding, the Danish businessman confirmed the excellent reputation of the Max Behrendt company.


quickly advanced to become one of the most successful companies in the business. Behrendt exported goods primarily to Denmark and Great Britain, where he established a branch office; following his escape from Germany, he was able to continue his business there.

Due to his export business, Behrendt was subjected to more extreme controls by the currency control office (Devisenprüfstelle).
But he had managed to transfer assets for establishing the branch office in London without the full knowledge of the German tax authorities. In an auditing report dated October 1938, the expert recommended having the non-Jewish authorised signatory of the company on Mohrenstrasse, “who has a Jewish wife although he is Aryan,” arrested, thereby exerting pressure on the clothier in London. To that end, the signatory’s passport was even confiscated so that he could not flee.  

Operations ceased completely following the pogrom. The company’s substantial assets were used to pay the so-called “Jewish asset tax” (Judenvermögensabgabe) and other taxes. The company was deleted from the commercial register in April 1940. In England, Max Behrendt encountered payment difficulties, and a forced settlement with creditors was entered into in 1941. He died in London a few days before the liberation of Berlin.  

The rapid financial and social decline of persecuted clothiers and their families is shown by the fate of dress and blouse manufacturer Bernhard Mendelsohn. Since 1934, Mendelsohn had been the owner of a blouse and dress factory on Jerusalemer Strasse 26 since 1934. His business dealing were
successful at first; but then, he was hassled by “Adefa” members with defamation and persecution. He finally suffered a stroke, which made him unable to work. His non-Jewish wife attempted to continue the business and remain financially viable, but she was unsuccessful. 37

---

The certificate of poverty, 26 June 1936
The certificate of poverty affirms that Mendelsohn was “poor and not in a position, without compromising the necessary subsistence, to pay the costs for the deletion of the Bernhard Mendelsohn company from the commercial register.” 38

Radicalisation of Persecution (1937/38)

Since its establishment in May 1933, “Adefa” had been working tirelessly to distribute newsletters to its members. It continually called upon them to terminate their business relationships with Jewish companies. It becomes clear from the newsletters that until 1938, although many businessmen were members of “Adefa” they continued to conduct business with their Jewish colleagues. One reason for this was surely that it would have been economically foolish to break off longtime business relationships. The Reich Economics Ministry likewise pursued pragmatic policies until the end of 1937, and pointed out that the persecution of Jews should not affect export business (too much).\(^\text{39}\) Also, there was no uniform rule as to when a company was to be considered “Jewish.” For that reason, the executive board of “Adefa” decided in November 1937 that all member companies should identify themselves as such and mark their goods with the notation “goods of Aryan origin.”\(^\text{40}\) This decision was accompanied by a propaganda campaign lasting for several weeks, with the goal of making the work of “Adefa” better known to the public.\(^\text{41}\) At the same time, Herman Göring, as the successor to Hjalmar Schacht as Reich Economics Minister, made sure that Jewish commercial enterprises were defined as such and then systematically disadvantaged.\(^\text{42}\) However, all of these actions also show indirectly that up until that time, Jewish entrepreneurs in the fashion industry were still able to hold their own against persecution.

**Karl Leissner**

The example of a finished fashion company on Mohrenstrasse 37 shows that anti-Semitic persecution by “Adefa” went...
hand in hand with the policies of the Chamber of Industry and Commerce. This company was originally founded in July 1933 by Kurt Schmidt, who was not Jewish. Even without experience in the business, Schmidt hoped to be able to build up a lucrative company with the help of an overseer; but he did not make any decent profits. In that situation, Schmidt turned to his friend Karl Leissner, who had lent him money in 1933 to build up the company. Thereupon, the Chamber of Industry and Commerce accused the company of having Karl Leissner as its de facto company head, and referred to “impermissible naming.” But that was not the case: Karl Leissner did not take over the company until 1934; and he did not change the name from “Kurt Schmidt.” However, because Leissner also had very little experience in the women’s ready-made clothing business, business did not get better under his leadership. Thereupon, his brother Max Leissner took over the company in December 1935. Like his brother, Max Leissner was a Polish Jew living in Berlin. He had more than 15 years of business experience, and the company first started to make a profit under his leadership. “Adefa” had been observing these business developments, and denounced Max Leissner to the Chamber of Industry and Commerce; he was thereupon again accused of running a company under a false name. It was demanded of him that he liquidate his company. However, Max Leissner notified the Local Court of his plans to change the company name to “Max Leissner.” During the transition period, he stamped over the words “Kurt Schmidt” with “Now: Max Leissner” (see image). Leissner’s attorney made it clear to the Local Court that neither the company’s reputation nor its commercial success was dependent upon the company name of “Kurt Schmidt” because nobody was familiar with that company. With his courageous conduct, Leissner managed to head the company from 1935 to 1938. Because he was a Polish Jew, he still enjoyed a certain degree of protection in Nazi Germany. However, this changed fundamentally in October 1938 because Leissner fell victim to the so-called “Polish action.” The background was a diplomatic conflict between Poland and Germany and Poland’s intention – largely motivated by anti-Semitism – to rescind the citizenship of Poles living abroad. Heinrich Himmler responded by issuing a ban on residence for Polish Jews and organised a Gestapo action, during the course of which 17,000 Polish Jews were arrested, taken forcibly to the
about 40 of the largest Berlin ready-made clothing companies decided to shut down their companies or to transfer them to Aryan hands (...).”  

The SoPaDe reported on the aggressive policies of “Adefa” on Hausvogteiplatz.

A smear campaign appeared in the anti-Semitic weekly paper “Der Stürmer” in 1938; its goal was to poison the atmosphere against Jewish clothing companies. The article listed the company names and addresses of 21 Berlin women’s clothing companies that had supposedly not been founded until 1938. These included the companies of “Herbert Labandter on Mohrenstrasse 37a, “Ernst Nussbaum” on Mohrenstrasse 36/37 and “Ernst Loepert” on Kronenstrasse 45. But the entries into the Berlin Commercial Register show that none of those companies was established in 1938 – rather, they were founded between 1934 and 1936. That claim in “Stürmer” was simply a lie, and had the function of publicly threatening and intimidating Jewish clothiers as well as their suppliers and customers, and thereby forcing them to give up their businesses. Beginning in 1934, Herbert Labandter ran a business which manufactured clothing on the ground floor of the building on Mohrenstrasse 37a, whose opening he celebrated in July 1934. Labandter was specialised in

German-Polish border on trains, and shoed across the border. Max Leissner was deported to Poland as well. From Warsaw, Max Leissner empowered a German trustee to wind up and liquidate the company.

SoPaDe, the exile organisation of the Social Democratic Party of Germany, reported on the extent of the expulsion of Jewish clothing companies on Hausvogteiplatz and stated that as of “1 April [1938] (...)
Radicalisation of Persecution (1937/38)

the manufacture and sale of black mourning clothing and wedding dresses. The clothier apparently wanted to ensure constant sales that were not subject to seasonal fluctuations. Although the claim in “Stürmer” was false, the intended effect apparently ensued. In the same year, the Labandter company was liquidated under unknown circumstances.

Ernst Loepert

Ernst Loepert, whose company of the same name was also listed, was not even still in Germany when the article was published. He had emigrated to Manchester, England in 1938, leaving his business behind. Loepert, born in 1895, had been a travelling salesman of ready-made girls’ clothing before founding the company. With his own capital of 10,000 Reichsmark, he founded his company on 1 January 1933, only a few weeks before the National Socialists came to power. Loepert rented 150 square metres of space for a monthly rent of 250 Reichsmark. It included a sales room, an office, as well as equipment and packing rooms. Employees of the company included a “business apprentice,” as well as a clothier and a domestic worker. Up to 15 overseers received commissions from Loepert; they had the clothing manufactured in their workrooms outside the company.

In order to monitor both the existence of companies and their financial situation, the Berlin Chamber of Industry and Commerce wrote to every company entered into the commercial register beginning in fall 1937. That procedure was supposed to serve to update the commercial register. If the IHK

Anti-Semitic hate speech

In January 1938, a smear campaign in the anti-Semitic weekly paper “Der Stürmer” disseminated false claims against Jewish clothing companies.

Article from “Der Stürmer,” January 1938.
did not receive a response, it applied for the company to be deleted from the commercial register, and published the planned deletion with a deadline of three months. Furthermore, the current address was obtained from the central registry of residents. Against this background, the IHK turned to the Local Court of 12 November 1938 to apply for the deletion of the “Ernst Loepert” company from the commercial register, because the result of an evaluation was that the business had been “discontinued for years.”

In the context of the Jewish ready-made fashion industry on Hausvogteiplatz, this bureaucratic routine procedure seems rather macabre, given that the violent anti-Semitic riots in Berlin had not yet even fully ended by 12 November 1938, and the business premises of the Jewish companies on Hausvogteiplatz had been looted and destroyed. A few days later, the Local Court demanded that Ernst Loepert delete the company from the commercial register. But Loepert was already in Manchester, England, as the Resident Registry Office informed the Local Court.

The “Third Executive Order to the Reich Citizenship Law” of 14 June 1938 officially determined when a company was to be considered Jewish: if at least one owner, partner or shareholder was considered to be a Jew pursuant to the “Nuremberg Laws” of 1935.

At the same time, an order from the chief of police allowed Jewish businesses in Berlin to be specially marked. The flood of ordinances and the competition from “Adfa” members, whose financial means were virtually unlimited, resulted in the closure of six Jewish finished fashion businesses on the premises between Mohren, Jerusalemer and
Kronenstrasse even before the November 1938 pogrom.\(^5\)\(^8\)

The Feige brothers, whose company headquarters was located on Mohrenstrasse 36/37, also emigrated before the November pogrom. Brothers Alfred, Erich and John Feige came to Berlin from East Prussia after World War I and established a women’s coat company bearing their name in 1926. The company’s reputation was so good that they did not need to employ any agents. Customers poured into Mohrenstrasse as soon as a collection was finished.\(^5\)\(^9\) The Feige brothers were, correspondingly, one of the few companies able to build up a branch office in London after 1933 with the approval of the Reich Economics Ministry. But beginning in 1934, the brothers were subjected to internal agitation by the National Socialist “business

---

Karl Stier certification, 31 December 1957
The Frankfurt businessman confirmed the excellent reputation of the Feige Brothers company for the compensation proceeding. Their coats were of such high quality that a “comparable substitute” could not be found.

LABO Berlin, compensation file, reg. no. 50555 (John Feige), K17 et seq.
cell” within the company. With increasing frequency, even old customers terminated their business relationships with the company. When the Feige brothers were personally brought before the Gestapo and threatened, they decided in spring 1938 to flee to London. As a result, their company was liquidated and deleted from the commercial register in April 1940. The significant accounts receivable and positive balance of the company and its partners were confiscated to pay off arbitrarily imposed tax liabilities. 60
The November Pogrom (1938)

“A group of ten or eleven men, armed with long iron poles and axes, broke into the wholesale businesses in order to smash up everything – and I mean everything – they got their hands on. (...) Clothing, furs, typewriters, lamps, clothes stands, and even flowerpots from the large sales floors were thrown onto the street. All of the accounting files, work papers and card files were dumped onto the street. (...) Outside, agitators and watchdogs made sure the street was free of police.”

This is how a passer-by described what she saw during the multi-day pogrom in the Berlin ready-made garment district. This was not the first time that the ready-made garment district, which had been stigmatised as “Jewish,” had been sought out by violent anti-Semites. But the November pogrom was the climax of violence against the Jewish company owners around Hausvogteiplatz. Many of the shops that were still operating were destroyed in broad daylight and in full view of everyone.

After the orgies of violence, Hermann Göring, Prime Minister of Prussia and head of the 4-year-plan authority, called together countless Nazi institutions, as well as representatives of industry and the insurance industry, to a conference on 12 November 1938 in the Reich Aviation Ministry on Wilhelmstrasse, today’s Federal Finance Ministry location, in order to discuss the consequences of the pogrom. One consequence was the “Order to Exclude the Jews from German Economic Life,” which provided that Jewish retail stores, skilled trades businesses and cooperatives be closed as of 1 January 1939. Only a few weeks later, on 3 December 1938, the “Order on the Utilisation of Jewish Assets” would force Jewish entrepreneurs to sell or wind down their business operations.
Still in the winter months of 1938–1939, 17 Jewish ready-made clothing companies on the premises of today’s Justice and Consumer Protection Ministry were liquidated, and two other companies were transferred to the ownership of non-Jews. In 1940, another nine clothing companies were liquidated, and one was taken over. 64

**Wolf & Schlachter**

The company “Schlachter & Wolf,” founded in 1919, was one of those ready-made clothes companies that was transferred to the ownership of non-Jews directly following the November pogrom. The company’s business premises were originally located on Jerusalemer Strasse 21, then moved to Mohrenstrasse 36 in July 1933 and to Kronenstrasse 42/43.

---

Pogrom

In Amsterdam and London, Alfred Wiener, who had fled from Germany, and his team systematically collected information from the German Reich in order to tell the world about the fate of the Jews. The report from the unknown lady is a part of that collection.

as of 1938. The partners were Louis Schlachter and Bruno Wolf, who concentrated mainly on the export of women’s clothing. For that reason, their sales were not radically reduced following the ascension to power of the National Socialists. Within the course of a company audit in December 1935, the Chamber of Industry and Commerce notified the Local Court of annual sales of approx. 1 million Reichsmark and a staff of over 20 employees. Nonetheless, Louis Wolf emigrated to Paris and issued
a power of attorney to his partner Bruno Schlachter to make all decisions on his behalf. Schlachter remained in Berlin, and slowly but surely experienced his own loss of power as the chief executive officer. As many Jewish companies were forced to do at that time, he initially named one of his non-Jewish employees as the company’s trustee. In 1938, that employee was named “head of operations” by the Berlin “Reich work trustee.” 67 After the pogrom, Schlachter had no other alternative but to sell his company.

Non-Jews initially had to apply to the chief of police before acquiring a Jewish company. He had the company evaluated by the Nazi district economic adviser Heinrich Hunke, who was considered to be especially radical; nonetheless, Hunke was able to continue his career in the Finance Ministry of Lower Saxony after the war. 68 Potential buyers were evaluated as well: the focus was on professional expertise and the amount of equity available; but loyalty to National Socialism plaed an important role as well. On 23 November 1938, the purchase agreement was concluded between the partners of the “Wolf and Schlachter” general partnership and businessman Georg Zahl. It provided that Bruno Schlachter and his colleagues were required to train Georg Zahl and act as consultants with regard to the export side of the business. 69

The purchase agreement was forwarded by Berlin’s chief

Georg Zahl, who bought the Jewish women’s coat company “Wolf & Schlachter,” which was well-established and very strong in the export market, had stationery printed for the transitional period that showed both the old and new company name.

Berlin Land Archives, A Rep. 342-02, 14736, p. 70.
of police to the “division on Jews” of the Reich Economics Ministry. “Staff counsel on Jews” Dr. Alf Krüger approved the contract, but imposed various conditions.\(^{70}\) For example, the payment of the purchase price of 56,000 Reichsmark had to be paid by the end of 1939 to the Golddiskontbank, a subsidiary of the Reichsbank. He also assessed the amount of the “De-Jewing Contribution” (Entjudungsabgabe) at 20,000 Reichsmark. This was a type of commission for the Nazi state which Georg Zahl was required to pay into the Reich’s main coffers.\(^{71}\) The liquidation of the “Wolf & Schlachter” general partnership, and Georg Zahl as the sole proprietor of the company, was entered in the commercial register on 5 June 1939.\(^{72}\) Meanwhile, Bruno Schlachter was planning his emigration to London with his wife Selma Wolf, née Jacob. After paying all of the forced “Jewish Asset Tax” (Judenvermögensabgabe) and the “Reich Flight Tax” (Reichsfluchtsteuer), the couple barely had any capital left to build up an existence in London. Bruno Schlachter died in London on 24 December 1951 at the age of 73. His wife received only a very small pension, which she was forced to supplement in her old age with seamstress work.\(^{73}\)
Flight to Shanghai (1938/39)

The pogrom represented a caesura in the history of the Berlin ready-made garment industry that cannot be underestimated. After the pogrom, it would become virtually impossible for Jewish victims of persecution to work in the industry; this forced many people to emigrate.

The Salomon Family

The fate of the Salomon family shows how extensive the consequences of the pogrom could be. On 28 February 1939 – a Tuesday – 49-year-old Berlin clothier Paul Salomon, along with his wife Margarete and 17-year-old daughter Irmgard, left Germany in order to seek refuge in the “open city” of Shanghai. Paul Salomon had made his living as an independent textiles representative in Berlin’s ready-made garment district. The companies he represented were destroyed during the November pogrom of 1938. This robbed Paul Salomon of his livelihood for himself and his family, and increased the fear of being deported to a concentration camp. The family was left with no other alternative but to emigrate. But the Chinese metropolis, 8,000 kilometres away, was not a voluntarily selected destination: in 1939, Shanghai was among the few cities worldwide to where persecuted people from Central Europe were still able to flee. At the same time, Shanghai was considered to be a horrible place for which there were virtually no alternatives. Michael Blumenthal answered the following to the question of why he and his family selected Shanghai as the place to flee to: “We fled because we feared for our lives, and no other country would have let us in!” Blumenthal, born in Oranienburg, a former U.S. Treasury Secretary and Director
of the Berlin Jewish Museum, recalls, “Shanghai had a fearsome reputation as a lawless, wild city in a China which had been devastated by the war (...).”

Paul Salomon was a native of Berlin and had been trained in the ready-made garment business. He laid the foundation for his career in Berlin’s fashion district in a women’s coat factory near Berlin’s city palace. That career would last more than 36 years, less a three-year deployment as a soldier in World War I. After his vocational training, Paul Salomon gradually worked his way up the employment ladder: He first worked as a furnisher at “Rosenberg & Krumbeck,” then became a clothier at “Sally Fraenkel” on Mohrenstrasse 38, and finally founded his own company specialising in ladies’ skirts, on 1 April 1933 – the day of the state-organised boycott. His business was located adjacent to Hausvogteiplatz in a building with plenty of natural light. His wife Margarete took over the bookkeeping, and business developed well at first: They employed a domestic worker, two agents, and had enough orders to keep seven or eight overseers busy.

However, attacks by “Adefa” became so bad that after four years, Salomon could no longer maintain his resistance, and he had to declare bankruptcy in 1937. He gave up his business space, but took advantage of a network he had built up over decades in order to get hired as a representative for renowned companies. He was initially able to sustain his livelihood that way. But it resulted...
in his own downward mobility and the unemployment of his wife. During the November pogrom, the premises of the companies that Salomon was working for were destroyed to the point of being forced to close down. This took away Salomon’s last hope of pursuing his profession.

Two weeks after the pogrom, on 21 November 1938, Salomon paid a deposit of 50 Reichsmark for the ship passages to a travel agency whose headquarters were located on Unter den Linden boulevard; on 17 February 1939 he paid an additional 250 RM. Previously, he had cashed out his life insurance for a paltry surrender value in order to be able to pay for the passage.

With his application for compensation after the war, Paul Salomon included letters of recommendation that had been issued by the company owners about two weeks before his emigration to Shanghai. They truly constitute witnesses to that era: On the one hand, they certify that Paul Salomon had an excellent working style, was clearly an expert in his field, and was extremely popular with the customers. A former employer wrote that due to the “circumstances (...) Mr. Salomon [was] also forced to give up his employment with us.” He thereby also bore witness to the fact that even after five years of National Socialist dictatorship, anti-Semitic persecution and pogroms, Jewish company owners had the courage to speak about their persecution – even though they may have done so hesitantly. The letters of recommendation also constituted an important part of the preparations for emigration to secure an existence for the future in the new and unfamiliar country.
At that time, in February 1939, Paul Salomon was among the total of 36,075 Jewish “independent unemployed” in Berlin – a direct consequence of the November pogrom as well as the “Order to Remove the Jews from German Economic Life.”

In the preceding years, the family’s financial situation had not allowed them to save significant amounts of money. The Salomons sold a portion of their possessions at “bargain basement” prices in order to be able to pay for their emigration and journey to Shanghai. The compensation file contains a listing of their furniture which contrasts the purchase price with the amount received from the sale; it shows all too clearly the desperation of the family members and the time pressures they were subjected to. For example, they had to leave behind all of their bedroom furniture, which they had bought for 2,000 RM. Paul Salomon sold his radio and speakers, which he had bought a few years previously for 300 RM, to “unknown” for less than 25 RM.

On 28 February, the Salomons travelled by train to Munich, and then onward to Naples. We can assume that, as reported by many others, they suffered humiliating measures from the German border police when crossing into Italy: Walter Manes summed up the departure from Germany in his memoirs: “there was another scary moment, but we survived that.” In accordance with the German exchange regulations of 1938, emigrants were allowed to take out of Germany a maximum of 10 Reichsmark, less 15 Pfennigs processing fee. They were not allowed to take along new clothing and other items. The goal was to force the refugees into complete poverty. Only a few succeeded in illegally getting small sums to a transit country or to their destination country. The Salomons boarded the Japanese steamship “Suwa Maru” in Naples on 28 March 1939. The journey lasted about one month, whereby the emigrés were not allowed to leave the
ship even for a few hours until they had arrived in Singapore. When they arrived in Shanghai, the newcomers were greeted by a Jewish aid committee and transported on lorries from the harbour to the Hongkew quarter. During the “Battle for Shanghai” of 1937, during the Chinese-Japanese War, the Hongkew quarter had been largely destroyed. The ruins had been repaired in a makeshift manner and made into collection camps, into which the penniless refugees moved. These camps were “quite literally lifesavers,” and for most “it was a miserable life, but still much better than in a concentration camp.” They then had to register at the German consulate – otherwise, they might have risked losing their German citizenship.

Paul Salomon was shocked by what he saw in the city, and the subtropical climate caused him significant health problems. He required constant medical care while he was there, and described the conditions in retrospect as “a murderous climate” exacerbated by “inadequate hygiene.” The combination of the two “did not allow him to ever completely regain his health.” Until moving to the USA in 1947, he was dependent on the charitable services of the Jewish Refugee Committee.

As a reaction to the enormous increase in refugees, Shanghai Jews established the “Committee for the Assistance of European Jewish Refugees in Shanghai” on 19 October 1938; it functioned as an umbrella organisation for individual aid projects and also cooperated with the “American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee.” Its main tasks were to house people and use aid funds to provide medical and financial support, as well as to establish job-creation measures. The majority of the refugees lived in the quarter of Hongkew, but there was, of course, freedom of movement. People who found jobs in one of the neighbouring quarters were able to establish a livelihood, modest as it may have been.

In addition to the everyday hurdles faced in an unfamiliar culture, the political climate would change palpably beginning in 1941: On 7 December, 1941 during the course of the Pacific war, Japanese troops occupied the “previously still free part of the city.” Japan was Germany’s ally, but did not follow the same openly anti-Semitic course as Germany. However, beginning in mid-1942, more and more anti-Semitic pamphlets started to appear in Shanghai, in which Nazi jargon was used to give the Jewish refugees the blame for “black market
trade, crime and espionage.”

Although it was called for by a Gestapo officer in Tokyo following the Wannsee Conference of 20 January 1942, no concentration camps were established in Shanghai. But the Japanese military authorities succumbed to German pressure and announced that as of 18 February 1943, “all stateless refugees arriving after 1937” would be required to remain within a “certain area.”

The Hongkew quarter then turned from a quarter for European Jewish refugees into a ghetto in which Jewish residents were forced to wear a distinguishing button and receive a special ID card that stigmatised them.

These orders applied to the Salomon family as well; as of 25 November 1941, they were no longer German citizens: Pursuant to the “11th Order on the Reich Citizenship Law,” they were stripped of their citizenship, and their property which had remained in Germany was confiscated – as was that of the other deported and emigrated Jews.

The war in the Pacific ended in August 1945, and the ghetto was dissolved. However, this did not yet mean that leaving Shanghai was possible. Paul Salomon, his wife Margarete and his daughter Irmgard decided against returning to Berlin. But two more years would pass before they received entry visas for the United States. They left Shanghai on 17 June 1947, and arrived one month later in Minneapolis. Salomon worked there until 1955, first in various clothing companies, and later as an administrator. His health initially improved, but he suffered a heart attack in 1955 that forced him to stop working. He died in the USA in 1960, weakened by the gruelling persecution measures of the Nazi regime.”
Deportations (beginning in 1941)

Charlotte Baehr

The “Adolf Baehr” company on Mohrenstrasse 36/37 had been in existence for only two years when Adolf Baehr died in January 1935 under unknown circumstances. He left behind a well-functioning business on Mohrenstrasse – on premises measuring 340 square metres, 19 staff members worked on the design and sale of ladies’ dresses, and 25 overseers had the garments sewn off premises. After his death, a relative of his widow led the company; however, this ended in 1937, when the company was liquidated and deleted from the commercial register. His widow, Charlotte Baehr (née Markwald) and their 14-year-old daughter Ruth were thereafter forced to live on the family’s savings. Charlotte was successful in enabling her daughter Ruth to emigrate to Switzerland. Under disastrous conditions, she emigrated on to Palestine in 1940. Charlotte Baehr remained in Berlin. She was arrested by the Gestapo in October 1941 and brought to a collection camp in Berlin. On 24 October 1941, she was deported from Berlin to the Litzmannstadt/Łódź Ghetto. At that time, all of her assets were transferred to the German Reich, and Charlotte Baehr was stripped of her German citizenship. In the Łódź Ghetto, at the end of 1941 more than 200,000 Jews were detained under horrific conditions on less than 4 square kilometres. On 4 May 1942, Charlotte Baehr was deported to the extermination camp Kulmhof am Ner and murdered.

Sally Fraenkel

The “Sally Fraenkel” women’s coat company had been in existence for 56 years when brothers and directors Max and Manfred Fraenkel, forced to use the name “Israel,” applied for their deletion
from the commercial register on 6 March 1939. Sally and Siegmund Fraenkel had founded the company in 1882, and entered it into the commercial register on 19 April 1901. While Sally Frankel dealt with the creative side of the fashion business, his brother Siegmund focused on the business issues. This division was normal in the ready-made garment industry, and was considered to be a successful approach. In the 1920s, Max and Manfred, Sally Fraenkel’s sons, took over the company and managed to survive the economic crisis. In 1929, they moved business operations to Hausvogteiplatz 3/4, from where they witnessed the April boycott and the initial years of National Socialism. Sales plunged dramatically – while Max Fraenkel still earned 15–18,000 Reichsmark in 1934, his income was cut in half by 1937.

A close look into the Berlin directory shows that attempts were made to drive “Sally Fraenkel” from Hausvogteiplatz, probably by the owners of the building; but the company executives were able maintain their location by renting space in an adjacent building. Between 1936 and 1939, the ladies’ coat company moved not once, but twice – and the moves coincided with a change in ownership of the respective buildings. The company did not move into Mohrenstrasse 38 until the end of 1936. Until 1937, Jewish button manufacturer Otto Sochaczewer owned the buildings on Jerusalemer Strasse 24 and Mohrenstrasse 38. Sochaczewer, who was also persecuted, dissolved his company, sold his property, and emigrated to Amsterdam in May 1938. The new owner of the two buildings was W. Eichmann, non-Jew and retired high-level civil servant. During the course of 1938, the company moved again, this time to Jerusalemer Strasse 8. This can definitely be considered to be an attempt on the part of Max and Manfred Fraenkel to hold their ground against their displacement. It is unclear whether the company was still located on Mohrenstrasse 38 during the November pogrom in 1938, or whether it had already moved to Jerusalemer Strasse 8. But it is clear that the pogrom represented an enormous caesura for the company, and therefore for the family as well: Manfred Fraenkel was one of the many thousand Jewish men who, in the course of the pogrom, was taken was taken to the Sachsenhausen Concentration Camp on orders of Heydrich; those men came from Berlin, northern and eastern Germany, as well as eastern Prussia. In Sachsenhausen,
Manfred Fraenkel was designated as prisoner number 9110 and was assigned to “Prisoner block 38,” the “Jew’s block” as it was known in SS jargon. This is where the “November prisoners” were kept under catastrophic conditions. The men in the camp were subjected to arbitrary violent outbursts by the SS camp staff, and had to perform brutally hard physical labour. This terror was designed to force the prisoners to emigrate.

Fraenkel was released from the camp on 26 November 1938 after assuring that he would emigrate to England. Before they left for England, which was more like an escape given the recent detention in the concentration camp, brothers Max and Manfred liquidated the company and dissolved the business, including the merchandise storage room, which had been looted and destroyed after the pogrom. Manfred and his wife Rose left Germany in August 1939. Their moving crates, which were supposed to be shipped to England, remained in Germany and were auctioned off in April 1941 – the profits were collected by the German State.

Determining the assets of the Fraenkel couple would take until 1945. In addition to the cash assets of Rose Fraenkel, it was also determined that she had a positive balance due to an unused ship’s passage on the “deutsche Amerika-Linie.” Apparently, the Fraenkel managed to travel safely to England on a foreign ship. However, that notification from the Asset Processing Office in Bremen seemed suspicious to the responsible civil servant in Berlin, and so he inquired of the Resident Registry Office in Berlin as to whether Manfred Fraenkel had been “evacuated.” The civil servant used that term as a euphemism to inquire whether Manfred Fraenkel had been deported. In January 1945, the Gestapo applied to the security service of the SS for confiscation of the determined assets.

Manfred’s brother, Max, and his wife Martha Fraenkel, née Krzywinus, had three young daughters who they succeeded in keeping safe until 1939. Daughters Judith (born 1921) and Eva (born 1924) were sent to London, England on so-called children’s transports (Kindertransporte). Hannah (born 1922) went to Haifa, Palestine with a “youth transport” (Jugendtransport). In order to pay for those transports, the Fraenkels sold their apartment, including the furniture, on Trautenaustrasse 1 in Berlin’s district of Wilmersdorf. They moved into a one-room apartment on Konstanzer Strasse 51,
which they had to share with other women and men. From there, daughter Judith received the last sign of life from her parents.\textsuperscript{125} They were arrested and detained in one of the 15 collective camps until the day of their deportation.\textsuperscript{126} There, they were forced to put in writing that they were leaving their assets to the State. On 29 November 1942, they were deported to Auschwitz from the freight rail depot on Putlitzstrasse in the central Berlin district of Moabit.\textsuperscript{127} On that train, there were 988 Jewish children, men and women who were deported in freight cars to Auschwitz, a journey that took two days. This was the first transport from the “Old Reich” (Altreich) to Auschwitz.\textsuperscript{128} Max and Martha Fraenkel were never seen or heard from again.\textsuperscript{129} It is unknown whether they were first forced labourers, or whether they were immediately “selected” and murdered.
The Textile Industry During the War

The persecution and destruction of the Jewish ready-made garment businesses on Hausvogteiplatz, the premises of today’s Federal Justice Ministry, meant the end of a tradition spanning more than 100 years. Non-Jewish fashion companies did continue to exist. But those companies were in the minority on Mohren-, Kronen- and Jerusalemer Strasse, and most of them did not have the same excellent reputation enjoyed by their former Jewish competitors. But “Adefa” members had access to all kinds of resources, made available by the “Sponholz & Co. (formerly Herz)” Bank. That bank was located on Jerusalemer Strasse 25 and provided non-Jewish entrepreneurs with credit. This made it possible for them to take over formerly Jewish companies even with a very low amount of equity, and to soon begin making profits. This was the path chosen by the partners of “Hensel & Mortensen.”

“Adefa” dissolved in 1939, after it had destroyed the substance of the Jewish business activities in the fashion industry. It was decided at the final membership meeting that the assets after liquidation should be used to establish a foundation. Its purpose was to be “to support former Adefa members who encounter emergencies through no fault of their own, or their surviving relatives.”
Even before the war, in the context of the four-year-plan, the propaganda maxim in the German fashion industry was “old material is raw material” (Altstoff ist Rohstoff). Although consumer needs were frowned upon during the war, a great deal of clothing – especially uniforms – needed to be mass-produced. After 1939, many of the German clothing companies began to have their clothing produced in ghettos – some of them even established branch offices next door to the ghettos. Production there was primarily of military clothing, for instance of SS coats from horse leather or other uniforms. Company owners also had forced labourers produce civilian clothing for a fraction of the usual cost. For example, the “Charlotte Röhl” company, headquartered on Hausvogteiplatz 11, received “half-finished goods” from the Litzmannstadt Ghetto, which made it into one of the many who profited from the National Socialist policies of persecution and then extermination.

What followed was, for the most part, nationalisation of the prominently located properties: After “Graumann & Stern” was
chased away, the German Reich became the owner of the property on Mohrenstrasse 36/37. The new tenant was the “Scientific Research Society,” a limited liability company that was junior to the Reich Economics Ministry. Its name concealed the Society’s true purpose, which consisted of fulfilling war-relevant tasks for the arms industry. The same was true of the property at Kronenstrasse 38–40, which had been owned by the Jewish bank “Mendelssohn & Co.” before it became the property of the Reich air force (“Luftgaukommando No. III”) in 1941.

The buildings on Hausvogteiplatz and Gendarmenmarkt were bombed, some of them repeatedly, during the allied air attacks on Berlin. Nonetheless, German bureaucracy continued to function: The partners in the “GeZa” company, which had taken over “Wolf & Schlachter,” notified the Local Court on 23 February 1944 of “total destruction” and requested new excerpts from the commercial register. Upon a subsequent second request, the Local Court responded in February 1945, three months before the war ended, that the commercial register files were not available because they had been moved due to the war.
Compensation and Restitution (1945 – today)

For those who applied, the euphemistic-sounding terms “compensation” and “reparations” often meant a bitter fight which sometimes lasted for decades and over generations, and whose result was uncertain. Restitution of the assets confiscated unlawfully during those days has still not been fully completed. 140

Those Jewish former clothiers from Hausvogteiplatz who survived the war were required in the post-war years to write down their experiences and to fill out compensation forms. They were forced to look for neighbours, colleagues and fellow prisoners in order to obtain affidavits regarding the events between 1933 and 1945. The same was true for the survivors of those people who had been deported and murdered.

Their goal was to receive reparations – the term in German was Wiedergutmachung, which literally means “to make good again.” Applications poured into the compensation office in Berlin from the USA, Palestine/Israel, Australia, Belgium and Great Britain. But it would often take many years until the applications were complete and were processed and decided upon.

Gerhard Jacobowitz from Kronenstrasse, who was considered a “full Jew” according to the Nazi “Nuremberg Laws,” had emigrated to Belgium in 1935 and lived there underground. He submitted an application on 25 September 1955 for compensation for “damage to body and health,” “damage to liberty,” “damage to assets,” as well as “damage to professional advancement.” Five years would pass before he received two one-time payments totalling only 6,000 Deutschmarks as compensation for his physical suffering and
the “damage to liberty.”  

Jacobowitz found a Bundestag parliamentarian from the CDU who worked on his concerns, and also received support from the German Embassy in Belgium. Jacobowitz fought for 20 years to be compensated by the Federal Republic of Germany because he could not find gainful employment after his emigration. The compensation office rejected his application because he supposedly did not submit any credible evidence.  

Paul Salomon, the clothier from Hausvogteiplatz who emigrated to Shanghai after the pogrom, submitted an application for compensation in 1951. He was able to include all of the obligatory documents with his application: An excerpt from the criminal register without any entries, a curriculum vitae, original letters of reference, and other documents that supported his claim. The exchange of correspondence, characterised by misunderstandings and bitterness, went on for decades. Jacobowitz suffered a stroke in 1977.

Certification from Doctor Bruno Rosenhain, New York, 26 March 1956
The doctor certified how severely his patient John Feige’s health had been affected by the persecution measures in the Third Reich, his protracted flight, and the disappointing attempt to build up a new existence in New York.

of recommendation from former employers, proof of income during the period before emigration, etc. He received a fixed sum based on his ghetto detention in Shanghai, and shortly before his death, he received notification that he would be receiving a small pension.  

The result of the division of the city into East and West Berlin meant that claims for restitution in the eastern part could not be asserted until after the Berlin Wall fell, and were then submitted based on the “Resolution of Outstanding Property Issues Act” (Gesetz zur Regelung offener Vermögensfragen) of 1990.  

Because Hausvogteiplatz was in East Berlin, the former clothiers’ applications were denied until after the Wall fell. After the Wall fell, these were de facto compensation payments, because as a rule the companies no longer existed and “therefore were not subject to restitution.” John Feige from the “Feige Brüder” company, whose application for compensation had been rejected, filed an objection to that decision, which was rejected by the Berlin Regional Court in 1960. After the fall of the Wall, the Jewish Claims Conference took up the case, and it was resolved by way of settlement in 2005.
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